## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KEQIN GUO,	
Plaintiff,	
V.	Case No. 04-CV-71290-DT
CIT GROUP INC., and MATRIX ABSENCE MANAGEMENT, INC.,	
Defendants.	/

## ORDER CLOSING CASE

Plaintiff filed the above-captioned action seeking both long-term and short-term disability benefits from a benefits plan governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"). After noting that Plaintiff's long term benefits claim remained pending with the ERISA plan administrator, the court conducted a series of telephone status conferences.

During a status conference on June 7, 2005, the parties informed the court that Defendants had determined that Plaintiff would be entitled to receive long term benefits for two years (from May 17, 2003 until May 17, 2005) and that she was also eligible for short-term benefits. The court discussed the potential dismissal of this case based on the status of Plaintiff's benefit claims as of June 7, 2005. The parties, however, requested additional time to work out issues relating to interest, costs, and attorney fees.

The court held another telephone status conference with the parties on June 30, 2005, during which the parties' attorneys agreed that the substance of Plaintiff's claims

2:04-cv-71290-RHC-RSW Doc # 21 Filed 06/30/05 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 81

have been resolved in such a manner that the current case may be dismissed without

prejudice to the parties' rights to seek post-dismissal interests, costs, and attorney fees

or to seek reinstatement following exhaustion of administrative remedies related to the

plan administrator's long term benefits determination (i.e. the determination on or about

May 27, 2005 that Plaintiff was awarded two years of long term disability benefits).

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned matter is DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE.

The parties may petition or move the court to resolve their remaining dispute

regarding interest, costs, and attorney fees within a reasonable time. The dismissal is

without prejudice to the parties' right to seek reinstatement following exhaustion of

administrative remedies. Any reinstatement must be sought by March 30, 2006.

S/Robert H. Cleland

ROBERT H. CLELAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 30, 2005

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record

on this date, June 30, 2005, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Lisa G. Teets

Case Manager and Deputy Clerk

(313) 234-5522

S:\Cleland\KEE\Orders & Opinions\04-71290.Guo.Order.Dismissing.Case.Without.Prejudice.wpd

2